

"Gets-It" or Corns, Which?

Few Drops at Night Spells "Good-Night" For Any Corn

It's farewell to any corn that "Gets-It" lands on. If you have a "pet" corn that you want to keep, don't let "Gets-It" come near it or you'll surely lose that pet. A few drops takes all the "pet" out of any corn, makes it let go so it lifts right off without your feeling it.



You've carried that misery making corn long enough, haven't you? It's time to hand it its passports. "Gets-It" will convince any corn that it's time to leave. Stops pain at once and quickly loosens the corn so that you lift it off whole. It's easy, safe, painless, quick and absolutely certain. "Gets-It" never fails to get it.

"Gets-It" the only sure, guaranteed, money-back corn remover, costs but a trifle at any drug store. Mfd. by E. Lawrence & Co., Chicago.

Sold in Norwich and recommended as the world's best corn remedy by Chas. Osgood Co.

The Bulletin

Norwich, Friday, Jan. 30, 1920

VARIOUS MATTERS

This is almanac day for February. Light vehicle lamps at 5.29 o'clock this evening.

Mild weather for the season is predicted for over the week-end. Due to grip and similar cases, drug stores in town have had a busy week. The dance at Fitchville Friday night is indefinitely postponed—adv.

A set of Prang color charts has been received at Peck Library, Norwich Free Academy.

Some of the city sidewalks emerged Thursday from the coating of snow and ice which has hidden them for a fortnight.

An East Woodstock man, William Donning, has just finished shelling several bushels of corn for a seed store in Boston.

In the Hartford city court Wednesday, Mary Braman, 19, was committed to the state farm for women at East Lyme.

A new system of belting takes the place of the former overhead system running through the boiler rooms at the Pawcatuck Woolen mills.

At Thompson, a familiar old horse, Fanny, which has been owned by the Dexter family 24 years, having had but one owner, died a few days ago.

In some of the towns it is noted that hungry blue jays are so tame they sit on the house steps with juncos, chickadees, and English sparrows.

There is complaint in some sections that patrons on the rural routes do not keep snow shoveled out from about mail-boxes so that carriers can get to them easily.

Minstrel and dance state army, William, Saturday night. Show starts at 8 o'clock at 8—adv.

More than ordinary interest is being manifested in the corn exhibit in connection with Farmers' Week at the state fair, Hartford, during the week of Feb. 9 to 14.

The Connecticut Children's Aid society has begun a drive to raise \$100,000. The main use for the money is to improve the homes for Crippled Children in Newington.

State foresters report Connecticut about 47 per cent woodland, but that doesn't mean timberland in the state are in Tolland county and in Litchfield.

Norwich members have been notified that the Connecticut Society of Horticulture will hold its annual meeting Feb. 3 in the health and charities department, Bridgeport.

The United States civil service commission announces for Feb. 21 an examination for the command of the U. S. Naval Ordnance Plant, South Charleston, West Va.

Thursday evening at Park Congregational church the mid-week service in the chapel, Rev. Dr. Howe's topic in bible characters was St. Stephen. Acts 6 and 7. The pianist was Miss Alice Woodcock.

The Army and Navy Club on State street, New London, will close Saturday night and the girls center in the same building will also close the same night with a big farewell party to the men in the service.

Professional entertainers, assisted by the orchestra of the Hartford Symphony, will hold a musical and dance at the State Army, William, Saturday night, January 31—adv.

The marriage of Miss Ethel Farnham and George E. Allen, both of New London, took place Wednesday morning, Rev. C. S. M. Stewart performing the ceremony. Mr. Allen and Mrs. Allen will reside in Yantic.

As a legal order of the health officer all trolley cars operating in New London must be ventilated by having at least two ventilators opened wide at each end of each car and each car must be fumigated each day.

Leonard H. Healy of Woodstock, a member of the executive committee of the state grange, installed the officers of Farmington Grange, No. 49, in Grange hall there, Thursday evening.

It is noted at Waterbury that in a campaign for \$75,000 to remodel and redecorate the interior of St. Anne's church, Rev. Ernest A. Lamontagne, pastor of Holy Trinity, Waterbury, pledges of over \$50,000 have been secured.

One by one, three large tobacco sheds on the plantation owned by Jacob Greenberg at Ellington, collapsed Tuesday. The rain Tuesday morning, added to the weight of snow on the sheds, caused the structures to collapse.

The board of assessors of the town of Vernon, William B. Thrall, Allen L. Lathrop and Fred W. Stewart, reported the new tax list for 1920. The total tax stands at \$10,133,729 while it was \$7,473,646 a year ago, an increase of \$2,660,083.

Two miniature operas of his own composition were produced by Dr. Jules Jordan of Providence, a native of Windham, before a crowded house at the Guild hall at Pence Dale Monday. They were "A Leap Year Furore" and "The Rivals."

Contradicting the statistics of the federal council, it was stated Thursday that the number of persons in this country belonging to the Jewish church is over three million. The Federal Council of Churches of Christ in America gave the number as 290,000.

A Deep River correspondent notes that through the efforts of Rev. F. J. Kuster, formerly of Norwich, and Rev. Cyril Higgins, formerly of Westerly, the comedy drama "Midnight Picnic" was presented at St. John's Industrial School recently for the pleasure of the boys.

The chief train dispatcher of the Shore Line Electric Railway and J. B. Whittemore, roadmaster, of Norwich, with a crew and laborers are at Baybrook loading salt from the store house into the cars to be used on the lines east and will load the 100 ton of soft coal at the power house this week.

A proposal for the insurance at the seventy-third annual meeting of the Connecticut State Teachers' Association of a plan for a statewide publicity campaign for the betterment of Connecticut schools is embodied in a statement issued by President Stanley H. Holmes of the association.

Connecticut men learn that Timothy Healy was elected president and Jack Patton was elected vice president of the National Council of Traveling Salesmen's Associations at the annual meeting, New York, at the Hotel Breslin, Tuesday night. Healy is head of the Silk Travelers.

A week-end house party was given by Mrs. G. E. Woodcock, 26 Farmington avenue, Waterbury, in honor of Miss Mae Sharpe of Pomfret, who is to be united in marriage Thursday with Edward Carson of Miami, Fla. Miss Sharpe was formerly a teacher in the public schools of Torrington.

To Cure a Cold in One Day take LAXATIVE BROMO QUININE (Tobin). It stops the cough and headache and works off the cold. E. W. GROVE'S signature on each box. 25c.

PERSONALS

Mrs. Richard Gorman of Norwich was a recent guest of the Misses Joy of Putnam.

Mrs. Eliza Leffingwell has returned to Norwich after a visit with her mother in Mystic.

Mr. and Mrs. John H. Cousins of Norwich have been visitors in Westerly during the week.

Fred Copp of Cliff street has been transferred from the local Mohican store to their store in Springfield, Mass.

Mrs. George D. Johnson of Mystic has been called to Norwich by the illness of her sister, Miss Mary Elizabeth E. Friewell.

The many friends of James T. Carey, the mail carrier, at his place in Westerly, are glad to hear that he is getting better after being confined to the house by illness.

Michael C. Higgins of Broadway is in New York attending the annual convention of the superintendents of the Metropolitan Life Insurance Company.

Mr. and Mrs. Frederick Morse and their son, Fred, Jr., of Goodyear, were guests recently at the home of Mrs. Goodyear's sister, Mrs. LaVerne Chaffee, at Potter Hill, R. I.

The Woonsocket R. I. Call of Wednesday said: Miss Marion E. Stark of Norwich, Conn., one of the instructors in mechanical art at the state college, has been the guest for a few days of Miss Helen A. Mowry, daughter of Superintendent of School and Mrs. W. A. Mowry, Hamlet street.

FUNERALS. Lucille Viola Greiner.

The funeral of Lucille Viola Greiner, infant daughter of Mr. and Mrs. William E. Greiner, was held Thursday afternoon at 4 o'clock at her parents' home on Palmer street. A service was conducted by Rev. C. A. Northrop, and burial took place in Maplewood cemetery. The child died Wednesday.

Undertaker A. C. Gager, Jr., had charge of the funeral arrangements.

Miss Mary E. Friewell. The funeral of Miss Mary E. Friewell was held Thursday afternoon at 2 o'clock at her home on Franklin street. The service, which was held at 2:30 o'clock, was conducted by Rev. J. Edgar Brown, pastor of the Episcopal church. Relatives and friends were numerous among the large attendance and there were many beautiful flowers. The bearers were Leonard Church, George S. Powers, Edward Y. Messinger and Henry F. Parker, and burial took place in Maplewood cemetery.

Undertaker A. C. Gager, Jr., had charge of the funeral arrangements.

Patrick F. Ryan. Tuesday morning at 11:15 the funeral of Patrick F. Ryan of New York city, formerly of Norwich, was held from parlors on Main street. A requiem high mass was celebrated in St. Mary's church at 10 o'clock by Rev. W. H. Kennedy. Henry La Fontaine presided at the organ and Mrs. T. J. Donovan sang during the mass. The bearers were Daniel Dunaway, Joseph Maloney, Edward Brutsman, Joseph Maloney and Henry Donovan. Mr. Ryan was the son of Eugene Ryan and the late Ellen O'Connell. He is survived by his father and four sisters, Mrs. John Brutsman of New London, Mrs. Joseph Brags of Providence, Mrs. William Ryan of New London, and Miss Catherine Ryan of New York.

Mrs. John Sullivan. The funeral of Mrs. John Sullivan was held Thursday morning at 8 o'clock from the home of her daughter, Mrs. Chester E. Wright, No. 29 Fairmount street and at 9 o'clock a mass of requiem was held in St. Patrick's church and was conducted by Rev. F. J. Cuny as celebrant. Rev. W. H. Kennedy as deacon and Rev. Miles P. Galvin as sub-deacon. The burial was in St. Joseph's cemetery. The bearers were James O'Connell, Jeremiah Sullivan, Patrick Sullivan, James Naele, Daniel Dunaway, John Kinney and burial took place in St. Joseph's cemetery. There was a large attendance of relatives and friends and there were many beautiful flowers. Friends and relatives were present from Bridgeport, Springfield, Westerly and New London.

SPANISH LECTURE, WITH MUSIC AND DANCES. Under the auspices of the Community Center for Girls, Miss Anna Russell, lecturer, gave a lecture on "Habits and Customs of Spain. The programme included vocal selections by Miss Mary Gray, Spanish dances by Miss Hazel Collins in costume, a piano solo by Miss Hazel Bantfield and Miss Stebbins and a Spanish song by Miss Annie Lee, in costume, accompanied by Miss Royce. Allen Latham operated the stereopticon.

Accuses Cutlery Worker. Lewis Lefebvre of Shipping street was arrested at his home Friday afternoon by Officers Barney Keenan and Frank Henderson on complaint of a woman who charged that Lefebvre had hit her in the head and cut her over the eye with a piece of iron in a fight at the Thamesville cutlery about noon Friday.

The case will come up in court Saturday morning.

Arrives at Raleigh. Mrs. J. S. Blake has written to her family here of her arrival at Raleigh, N. C., where she was called suddenly by the death of her sister, Mrs. Della Gwynn, who died suddenly from a severe hemorrhage. Mrs. Blake will stay in the south with her two daughters, Ruth O. and Rachel A., and her son, Joseph F., until early spring.

Entertained at Musicals. Miss Loretta P. Higgins of Broadway, who recently made a musicale in Blackstone reception room at Connecticut College on Wednesday evening. On her programme were Italian, Scotch and American songs. Miss Higgins is a member of the graduating class at the college.

Will Preach Sunday. Rev. A. P. Purkiss, pastor of the Central Baptist church, who was called to Elgin, Ill., last week by the serious illness of his mother, has sent word that he expects to return to Norwich so as to preach at the church on Sunday. His mother is still living.

Pa says I holler less for candy when I have my fill of POST COASTLES. —Cobbey

Superior corn flakes rich in natural sweetness.

NORWICH BULLETIN, FRIDAY, JANUARY 30, 1920

BURNAP WINS IN SUPREME COURT

Edwin L. Burnap, who was ousted from the position of superintendent of the Norwich water department by vote of the democratic majority in the board of water commissioners on June 28, 1918, when they abolished the office of superintendent is declared to have been illegally ousted by the supreme court handed down at Hartford on Thursday. The decision of the supreme court finds no error in the decision of the board of water commissioners on June 28, 1918, when they abolished the office of superintendent and declared that the action taken by the board of water commissioners on June 28, 1918, was the legal manner and proceeding by which to abolish the office of superintendent, and that by said action said office was abolished.

The said judge erred in refusing to hold and rule that the office of superintendent, as created by the board of water commissioners before the passage of the act of May 16, 1917, continued as the same officer after the passage of said act, the board had the right to abolish it and did abolish it by its action of June 28, 1918.

The said judge erred in refusing to hold and rule that the legislature did not create the office of superintendent by the act of May 16, 1917, but delegated to the board of water commissioners the authority to create it. The said judge erred in refusing to hold and rule that by authority given in said act of May 16, 1917, the office of superintendent was created by the board of water commissioners on June 1, 1917, and consequently that the board, having created the office, had the right to abolish it.

The said judge erred in refusing to hold and rule that the said board of water commissioners had the right to create the office of superintendent by the act of May 16, 1917, if the position of superintendent constituted an office before said date, or if the office was created by the board of water commissioners on June 1, 1917, and consequently that the board, having created the office, had the right to abolish it.

The said judge erred in refusing to hold and rule that the said board of water commissioners had the right to create the office of superintendent by the act of May 16, 1917, if the position of superintendent constituted an office before said date, or if the office was created by the board of water commissioners on June 1, 1917, and consequently that the board, having created the office, had the right to abolish it.

The said judge erred in refusing to hold and rule that the said board of water commissioners had the right to create the office of superintendent by the act of May 16, 1917, if the position of superintendent constituted an office before said date, or if the office was created by the board of water commissioners on June 1, 1917, and consequently that the board, having created the office, had the right to abolish it.

The said judge erred in refusing to hold and rule that the said board of water commissioners had the right to create the office of superintendent by the act of May 16, 1917, if the position of superintendent constituted an office before said date, or if the office was created by the board of water commissioners on June 1, 1917, and consequently that the board, having created the office, had the right to abolish it.

The said judge erred in refusing to hold and rule that the said board of water commissioners had the right to create the office of superintendent by the act of May 16, 1917, if the position of superintendent constituted an office before said date, or if the office was created by the board of water commissioners on June 1, 1917, and consequently that the board, having created the office, had the right to abolish it.

The said judge erred in refusing to hold and rule that the said board of water commissioners had the right to create the office of superintendent by the act of May 16, 1917, if the position of superintendent constituted an office before said date, or if the office was created by the board of water commissioners on June 1, 1917, and consequently that the board, having created the office, had the right to abolish it.

The said judge erred in refusing to hold and rule that the said board of water commissioners had the right to create the office of superintendent by the act of May 16, 1917, if the position of superintendent constituted an office before said date, or if the office was created by the board of water commissioners on June 1, 1917, and consequently that the board, having created the office, had the right to abolish it.

The said judge erred in refusing to hold and rule that the said board of water commissioners had the right to create the office of superintendent by the act of May 16, 1917, if the position of superintendent constituted an office before said date, or if the office was created by the board of water commissioners on June 1, 1917, and consequently that the board, having created the office, had the right to abolish it.

The said judge erred in refusing to hold and rule that the said board of water commissioners had the right to create the office of superintendent by the act of May 16, 1917, if the position of superintendent constituted an office before said date, or if the office was created by the board of water commissioners on June 1, 1917, and consequently that the board, having created the office, had the right to abolish it.

The said judge erred in refusing to hold and rule that the said board of water commissioners had the right to create the office of superintendent by the act of May 16, 1917, if the position of superintendent constituted an office before said date, or if the office was created by the board of water commissioners on June 1, 1917, and consequently that the board, having created the office, had the right to abolish it.

The said judge erred in refusing to hold and rule that the said board of water commissioners had the right to create the office of superintendent by the act of May 16, 1917, if the position of superintendent constituted an office before said date, or if the office was created by the board of water commissioners on June 1, 1917, and consequently that the board, having created the office, had the right to abolish it.

The said judge erred in refusing to hold and rule that the said board of water commissioners had the right to create the office of superintendent by the act of May 16, 1917, if the position of superintendent constituted an office before said date, or if the office was created by the board of water commissioners on June 1, 1917, and consequently that the board, having created the office, had the right to abolish it.

The said judge erred in refusing to hold and rule that the said board of water commissioners had the right to create the office of superintendent by the act of May 16, 1917, if the position of superintendent constituted an office before said date, or if the office was created by the board of water commissioners on June 1, 1917, and consequently that the board, having created the office, had the right to abolish it.

The said judge erred in refusing to hold and rule that the said board of water commissioners had the right to create the office of superintendent by the act of May 16, 1917, if the position of superintendent constituted an office before said date, or if the office was created by the board of water commissioners on June 1, 1917, and consequently that the board, having created the office, had the right to abolish it.

The said judge erred in refusing to hold and rule that the said board of water commissioners had the right to create the office of superintendent by the act of May 16, 1917, if the position of superintendent constituted an office before said date, or if the office was created by the board of water commissioners on June 1, 1917, and consequently that the board, having created the office, had the right to abolish it.

The said judge erred in refusing to hold and rule that the said board of water commissioners had the right to create the office of superintendent by the act of May 16, 1917, if the position of superintendent constituted an office before said date, or if the office was created by the board of water commissioners on June 1, 1917, and consequently that the board, having created the office, had the right to abolish it.

The said judge erred in refusing to hold and rule that the said board of water commissioners had the right to create the office of superintendent by the act of May 16, 1917, if the position of superintendent constituted an office before said date, or if the office was created by the board of water commissioners on June 1, 1917, and consequently that the board, having created the office, had the right to abolish it.

The said judge erred in refusing to hold and rule that the said board of water commissioners had the right to create the office of superintendent by the act of May 16, 1917, if the position of superintendent constituted an office before said date, or if the office was created by the board of water commissioners on June 1, 1917, and consequently that the board, having created the office, had the right to abolish it.

The said judge erred in refusing to hold and rule that the said board of water commissioners had the right to create the office of superintendent by the act of May 16, 1917, if the position of superintendent constituted an office before said date, or if the office was created by the board of water commissioners on June 1, 1917, and consequently that the board, having created the office, had the right to abolish it.

The said judge erred in refusing to hold and rule that the said board of water commissioners had the right to create the office of superintendent by the act of May 16, 1917, if the position of superintendent constituted an office before said date, or if the office was created by the board of water commissioners on June 1, 1917, and consequently that the board, having created the office, had the right to abolish it.

The said judge erred in refusing to hold and rule that the said board of water commissioners had the right to create the office of superintendent by the act of May 16, 1917, if the position of superintendent constituted an office before said date, or if the office was created by the board of water commissioners on June 1, 1917, and consequently that the board, having created the office, had the right to abolish it.

The said judge erred in refusing to hold and rule that the said board of water commissioners had the right to create the office of superintendent by the act of May 16, 1917, if the position of superintendent constituted an office before said date, or if the office was created by the board of water commissioners on June 1, 1917, and consequently that the board, having created the office, had the right to abolish it.

The said judge erred in refusing to hold and rule that the said board of water commissioners had the right to create the office of superintendent by the act of May 16, 1917, if the position of superintendent constituted an office before said date, or if the office was created by the board of water commissioners on June 1, 1917, and consequently that the board, having created the office, had the right to abolish it.

The said judge erred in refusing to hold and rule that the said board of water commissioners had the right to create the office of superintendent by the act of May 16, 1917, if the position of superintendent constituted an office before said date, or if the office was created by the board of water commissioners on June 1, 1917, and consequently that the board, having created the office, had the right to abolish it.

The said judge erred in refusing to hold and rule that the said board of water commissioners had the right to create the office of superintendent by the act of May 16, 1917, if the position of superintendent constituted an office before said date, or if the office was created by the board of water commissioners on June 1, 1917, and consequently that the board, having created the office, had the right to abolish it.

The said judge erred in refusing to hold and rule that the said board of water commissioners had the right to create the office of superintendent by the act of May 16, 1917, if the position of superintendent constituted an office before said date, or if the office was created by the board of water commissioners on June 1, 1917, and consequently that the board, having created the office, had the right to abolish it.

The said judge erred in refusing to hold and rule that the said board of water commissioners had the right to create the office of superintendent by the act of May 16, 1917, if the position of superintendent constituted an office before said date, or if the office was created by the board of water commissioners on June 1, 1917, and consequently that the board, having created the office, had the right to abolish it.

The said judge erred in refusing to hold and rule that the said board of water commissioners had the right to create the office of superintendent by the act of May 16, 1917, if the position of superintendent constituted an office before said date, or if the office was created by the board of water commissioners on June 1, 1917, and consequently that the board, having created the office, had the right to abolish it.

The said judge erred in refusing to hold and rule that the said board of water commissioners had the right to create the office of superintendent by the act of May 16, 1917, if the position of superintendent constituted an office before said date, or if the office was created by the board of water commissioners on June 1, 1917, and consequently that the board, having created the office, had the right to abolish it.

The said judge erred in refusing to hold and rule that the said board of water commissioners had the right to create the office of superintendent by the act of May 16, 1917, if the position of superintendent constituted an office before said date, or if the office was created by the board of water commissioners on June 1, 1917, and consequently that the board, having created the office, had the right to abolish it.

The said judge erred in refusing to hold and rule that the said board of water commissioners had the right to create the office of superintendent by the act of May 16, 1917, if the position of superintendent constituted an office before said date, or if the office was created by the board of water commissioners on June 1, 1917, and consequently that the board, having created the office, had the right to abolish it.

The said judge erred in refusing to hold and rule that the said board of water commissioners had the right to create the office of superintendent by the act of May 16, 1917, if the position of superintendent constituted an office before said date, or if the office was created by the board of water commissioners on June 1, 1917, and consequently that the board, having created the office, had the right to abolish it.

The said judge erred in refusing to hold and rule that the said board of water commissioners had the right to create the office of superintendent by the act of May 16, 1917, if the position of superintendent constituted an office before said date, or if the office was created by the board of water commissioners on June 1, 1917, and consequently that the board, having created the office, had the right to abolish it.

The said judge erred in refusing to hold and rule that the said board of water commissioners had the right to create the office of superintendent by the act of May 16, 1917, if the position of superintendent constituted an office before said date, or if the office was created by the board of water commissioners on June 1, 1917, and consequently that the board, having created the office, had the right to abolish it.

The said judge erred in refusing to hold and rule that the said board of water commissioners had the right to create the office of superintendent by the act of May 16, 1917, if the position of superintendent constituted an office before said date, or if the office was created by the board of water commissioners on June 1, 1917, and consequently that the board, having created the office, had the right to abolish it.

The said judge erred in refusing to hold and rule that the said board of water commissioners had the right to create the office of superintendent by the act of May 16, 1917, if the position of superintendent constituted an office before said date, or if the office was created by the board of water commissioners on June 1, 1917, and consequently that the board, having created the office, had the right to abolish it.

The said judge erred in refusing to hold and rule that the said board of water commissioners had the right to create the office of superintendent by the act of May 16, 1917, if the position of superintendent constituted an office before said date, or if the office was created by the board of water commissioners on June 1, 1917, and consequently that the board, having created the office, had the right to abolish it.

The said judge erred in refusing to hold and rule that the said board of water commissioners had the right to create the office of superintendent by the act of May 16, 1917, if the position of superintendent constituted an office before said date, or if the office was created by the board of water commissioners on June 1, 1917, and consequently that the board, having created the office, had the right to abolish it.

The said judge erred in refusing to hold and rule that the said board of water commissioners had the right to create the office of superintendent by the act of May 16, 1917, if the position of superintendent constituted an office before said date, or if the office was created by the board of water commissioners on June 1, 1917, and consequently that the board, having created the office, had the right to abolish it.

The said judge erred in refusing to hold and rule that the said board of water commissioners had the right to create the office of superintendent by the act of May 16, 1917, if the position of superintendent constituted an office before said date, or if the office was created by the board of water commissioners on June 1, 1917, and consequently that the board, having created the office, had the right to abolish it.

The said judge erred in refusing to hold and rule that the said board of water commissioners had the right to create the office of superintendent by the act of May 16, 1917, if the position of superintendent constituted an office before said date, or if the office was created by the board of water commissioners on June 1, 1917, and consequently that the board, having created the office, had the right to abolish it.

The said judge erred in refusing to hold and rule that the said board of water commissioners had the right to create the office of superintendent by the act of May 16, 1917, if the position of superintendent constituted an office before said date, or if the office was created by the board of water commissioners on June 1, 1917, and consequently that the board, having created the office, had the right to abolish it.

The said judge erred in refusing to hold and rule that the said board of water commissioners had the right to create the office of superintendent by the act of May 16, 1917, if the position of superintendent constituted an office before said date, or if the office was created by the board of water commissioners on June 1, 1917, and consequently that the board, having created the office, had the right to abolish it.

The said judge erred in refusing to hold and rule that the said board of water commissioners had the right to create the office of superintendent by the act of May 16, 1917, if the position of superintendent constituted an office before said date, or if the office was created by the board of water commissioners on June 1, 1917, and consequently that the board, having created the office, had the right to abolish it.

The said judge erred in refusing to hold and rule that the said board of water commissioners had the right to create the office of superintendent by the act of May 16, 1917, if the position of superintendent constituted an office before said date, or if the office was created by the board of water commissioners on June 1, 1917, and consequently that the board, having created the office, had the right to abolish it.

The said judge erred in refusing to hold and rule that the said board of water commissioners had the right to create the office of superintendent by the act of May 16, 1917, if the position of superintendent constituted an office before said date, or if the office was created by the board of water commissioners on June 1, 1917, and consequently that the board, having created the office, had the right to abolish it.

The said judge erred in refusing to hold and rule that the said board of water commissioners had the right to create the office of superintendent by the act of May 16, 1917, if the position of superintendent constituted an office before said date, or if the office was created by the board of water commissioners on June 1, 1917, and consequently that the board, having created the office, had the right to abolish it.

The said judge erred in refusing to hold and rule that the said board of water commissioners had the right to create the office of superintendent by the act of May 16, 1917, if the position of superintendent constituted an office before said date, or if the office was created by the board of water commissioners on June 1, 1917, and consequently that the board, having created the office, had the right to abolish it.

The said judge erred in refusing to hold and rule that the said board of water commissioners had the right to create the office of superintendent by the act of May 16, 1917, if the position of superintendent constituted an office before said date, or if the office was created by the board of water commissioners on June 1, 1917, and consequently that the board, having created the office, had the right to abolish it.

The said judge erred in refusing to hold and rule that the said board of water commissioners had the right to create the office of superintendent by the act of May 16, 1917, if the position of superintendent constituted an office before said date, or if the office was created by the board of water commissioners on June 1, 1917, and consequently that the board, having created the office, had the right to abolish it.

Diseases That Come From Neglected Teeth

Science knows now that diseased teeth in grownups cause rheumatism, heart trouble, indigestion, in children frequent colds, anemia, eye affections. As epidemics enter through the mouth, such children are often victims of influenza and spinal meningitis.

Mouth cleanliness is necessary for everybody the year around. That does not mean "pyorrhea cures" or other mysterious articles. Pyorrhea is unknown among the young and children should not be allowed to use any preparation claiming to cure this disease.

Unlike these, Albion Dental Cream is simply calcium carbonate, non-toxic and mixed with the well-known antiseptic oils of cloves, cinnamon and eucalyptus, which authorities like Drs. Prince and Long declare makes an effective and safe composition for women and children. It is just as good for you as should